

21: 50

OK the next item on our agenda is the discussion regarding Silver Leaf reservoir and bids to purchase and I'm thinking this is why most of you guys are here. Right, is this why most you guys are here? Can you guys hear us ok? I don't know if you want to try and squeeze in you're welcome to please say if you cant hear. Once again I want to thank you all for coming. I do not have social media but I have heard there is a lot of misinformation going about so once again I appreciate you coming to hear what we have to say.

There has been offers to buy the Silver Lake or purchase or obtain the reservoir for about three years off and on last year we received a bid for \$10 and was asked to be kept confidential and not to tell anyone we did not agree to that at that time and we let it go later that fall we again received another bid for \$40,000 and another interest to obtain. We got into this year as we have had quite a bit of I don't wanna use the word drama but McKenna was right in trying to resolve some of the issues of the water storage capacity agreement. Again, a lot of misinformation is out. I know that at the time Mike would not allow the water storage capacity agreement outside the office that is the reason I got on the board cuz I figured if the shareholders wanted to know something they should be able to see that so I know if you would like to ask him to look at it you are welcome to come in and look at it here. OK unless he's changed his mind on that. So, for two years since I have been on the board, I've been trying to clarify what the water storage capacity agreement means who it pertains to and if it's being followed.

24:05

Last year.... We've had a developer come in that purchased property and some water rights with it. With it they set up a lease agreement with Cottonwood mutual water co. This is in our water storage agreement. It promises that he either had the rights to the water in the reservoir or that he would obtain rights so last year we reached out to mountain green secondary water company to ask if they had actually obtained the rights, they promised they would. What we received was the pres of the water co. brought in an application which was actually a withdrawn application and he presented it to the board. He informed us if we wanted to know who had rights to the reservoir get on the state website and look it up. I went home and I looked it up and found that the application presented to the board was a withdrawn application When we reached back out to Mt Green secondary water co. to validate or explain why they would present a withdrawn application as proof we never heard back from them. In July we received a letter from MGSWC with several water right numbers however there was only a couple that MGSWC name was on that they could store water. So this has been a long time that we have been trying to get this information to validate that they are fulfilling their part of the obligation of the agreement.

25:43

This year once again we reached out We have other people that have purchased more land after the first developer and these other developers have come in and also have water rights. They have come in and asked if they can access their water out of the reservoir. Once again because we have a lease with MGSWC we reached out and asked them if they would please provide proof that they have obtained state water rights. I actually have them here this is for Silver Leaf reservoir ...this again is on the state website anybody that wants to validate what I am saying. Please go on. Get on the division of water rights website look up Silver Leaf reservoir hmm is it Silver Lake? Silver Lake reservoir if you look up the dam it will show you what water rights go in the dam. These are the water rights the state says you can store water legally. These are the water rights I did the three main ones first one and this is the priority and we own it. CWMWC they own 50 acre feet. According to the state its going to say its 250 acre feet. Can be in the reservoir CWMWC will take the priority of 50 acre feet. Now if you will look up there is

another one a bigger one 355791 you will see there is three owners on there now this has been switched we have DRM (?) Construction Durst Water Co. and Wilkinson Family Farm has 7.85 the main biggest water right in Silver Lake reservoir is 35199 there is a total of 150 acre feet in that if you will look at it you have Durst water co. owns 1.11 acre feet MGSWC owns 20.47 and Wilkinson family farm owns 18. This is what the state record. Now if you want to go to MGSWC and ask them why they say that they have a legal right I encourage you to do so. This is what we have for our information. They have come to us ...and they have explained that they have come to us and explained that they have entered into a third party. This is where it gets complicated for us and we are getting tired. We have asked for validation that they own it through the state they brought validation that they entered with a third party and we are caught in the middle. This is when we decided we really don't want to own it anymore.

CWMWC doesn't ... a man (?) interjects here "Who decided we don't want to own it anymore you?"

H-"The board and our lawyer advised it"

?- Which lawyer was it the fourth one fifth one.

H- What's your name

.....

H- No it is cuz if she wants to put it on the minutes would you like to

Trevor Helm – Trevor Helm

H-Trevor Helm. Thank you for asking that because once again Trevor that's mis-information that you read on social media.

TH – I am not on social media

H- Then I do not know where you got it but we have

(?) -How many attorneys have you had?

H- Two

29:03

Wayne Johnson- Why do we have an attorney in Las Vegas Nv. representing us?

? Trevor Helm- or is that true do we even have one in Las Vegas?

Wayne J- No, I know that's true

H- He's actually from Salt Lake he has an office in Salt Lake also. Mark Johnson

Earlene- Matt Johnson

H- Matt Johnson sorry thanks Matt Johnson

Wayne J- This whole thing is a Wilkinson Gardner problem

..... commotion ...

Heidi – Wait a second Wayne Wayne Hold on Wayne

Wayne- No its true

H- You know what Wayne I'm sorry

Wayne- No somebody needs to let it out

H- Wayne part of problem is because of your storage tank

WJ-.....you claimed at the last meetings for four times (?) that you know that we don't even own the storage tank

H- the storage tank in Rollins Ranch?

WJ- Yes you've said three times I've been to your house three different times and talked to you about it.

H- you told us that Rulon Gardner

WJ- I said we own half of it with Rulon Gardner yes I did

H- ok so we have yet to get documentation of that

WJ- But explain why we fire an attorney and we hire a guy out of Las Vegas that when our legal wants to talk to them we are trying to rosulate and talk to somebody in Las Vega Nevada two three weeks at a time will not even return a phone call.

H- if you guys want to go back thru the minutes of last year you'll see that also the minutes of last year are very very vague wait wait wait it's my turn its our board meeting ok Wayne

WJ- I'm a member so

H- I know but its

WJ- I think that everybody should be able to speak here

H- So if youll go back the minutes are very vague there were numerous discussions on seeking different legal counsel and if you want to go back to the different board members you are welcomed to do that too.....some of the agreements we entered into were not in the best interest of CWMWC that the lawyer had helped write and sign in the 2014 agreements and 2013 agreements. And we could not get clear answers.

31:00

(?) women- that's a legal

WJ- or what you wanted to hear

H- so we did as a board vote to get a new lawyer

(?) male what was thewhat was his reasoning

H- The other lawyer? We sought different counsel to re

31:17

(?) same male- I know what's your Its in the minutes I read them

H- I don't know tell me that that

(?) same – that you would not follow legal counsel correct

H- MMM what minutes did you read that in he wasn't ever in our

31:36

(?) Same- Your minutes that you guys NOTE: this part is hard to hear, I think it says something like "you would not follow legal counsel and he had a hard time representing the board

H-I you read those minutes somewhere?

(? Maybe Trevor Helm) – I was in that meeting too where you basically said I propose we hire new counsel cuz you didn't like the answer you was gettin' I was in that meeting I don't know who else was but I was here.

(?male maybe the one just before Trevor H) Are we talking about Chris Brimhall.....commotion

H- Yes, Chris Brimhall was our lawyer.

Wayne J- and the last guy that got on you said if you would have known he was a Rulon Gardner lover he wouldn't have got on the board. I don't see him here tonight

Alesha A- Who was that ?

Wayne J-

Sheila W- come on you've got to have a namecommotion

Wayne J – he just got put on the board not too long ago.

(?women)- Bartol

WJ_ there you go

Alesha- He's not here did you see our agenda? He's not on there

WJ- No I'm just telling you what I heard from her.

H- ok so

WJ- there's another problem I have

H- But you know what Wayne Wayne stop please

WJ- All the Wilkinson's make all the decisions...we have a husband we have a wife this board at one time (some one in the background says no conflict there) Loris husband which is a Wilkinson is on this board too.

Alesha A -he is not a Wilkinson

WJ- Im just saying he's in the family

Alesha A- so listen all this stuff that happened before got us in this mess that we are in right now. We are trying to clean up stuff from before

WJ- We are dealing with problems that a family that has sold a ranch to somebody that thinks that they got screwed and we the people with the water connection are paying the price people there is the truth. So if we got rid of all the Wilkinsons and the Gardeners and started fresh that's where we need to go as a board

Heidi- ok

WJ- and then we don't have none of these issues from either side lets start fresh with clean people not family not ...

H- You would have to get rid of all the agreements also.

WJ- you would have to go door to door I guess and get people to sign papers

(? Two men laughing)- That's never been done

H- hold on hold oncommotion

33:52

Noelle D- I am the only one from the secondary water board who is here and I'm the one who posts on social media and some of the things I feel that were said are slight of hand and misdirect.

Eric Plyer (?) I have a question

Noelle- Hold on

.....Commotion

Eric wants to know who Noelle is representing as she speaks here, a secondary board member or herself Heidi try's to clarify Eric's question to Noelle.

Noelle- I will tell you when I speak who I am representing

Eric- So you are representing the water board

Noelle- I didn't say that, so things that were said just now were not accurate and being said outload to everyone here that you are getting misinformation is not accurate and I think it needs to be clariid don't think it is very fair that its one persons perception Heidi and I was here when you guys got rid of the first lawyer I was at the same meeting I heard the same thing and I was here when the second lawyer you guys hired told you what Silver Leaf reservoir was and what your rights are to it and what anybody else's rights are to it and to me it was like cut and dry from that one meeting I don't even know why we are having this discussion because your lawyer said and this is me speaking as a shareholder

Eric P(?) As a share holder not a board member

Noelle-No

Eric - Cuz you present yourself on social media as a board member

Noelle- yes cuz I'm a board member on social mediacommotion so me as a shareholder as a shareholder of culinary all of us own the reservoir you board members don't own the reservoir we own

34:18

the reservoir and have been paying for it for years when I bought my lot I had to pay for secondary water before I even had secondary water I had to pay for it so we own the reservoir its ours ok so heres my thing the lawyer said whoever owns the reservoir controls it doesn't matter what water right the state says is sourced from the reservoir I can buy a water right and go make a change application and say now my water right is stored and sourced in Silver Leaf reservoir and I can come to the board and say look now I have a right to take your water the lawyer said no you don't its an asset its physical property it's a property right if I build a dam on my land and it fills up with water my neighbor cant come to me and say I own the water in that same creek that's filling up your dam now I want access to the water in the reservoir he has no right to that cuz its my property the only way he can get access to the water in that reservoir is by entering into a legal contract with me getting permission with me cuz its my property period this is why

Natasha P (?) then why did they (...get rid of it)... why did the secondary why were they fooling around with it like giving it to the culinary.....

Mike Johanson-(tries to say something here but over run)

Noelle- hold on hold on secondary isn't giving secondary doesn't own it culinary owns.....

Natasha- they used to

Noelle- no they didn't

..... Much commotion...

Noelle- no no secondary let me finish let me finish secondary water company as a board member wasn't created till after culinary so like it wasn't even a thing there was no secondary water co. with culinary and the whole thing between the Gardeners and the Wilkinsons was worked out it doesn't even matter even matter this is why as a secondary board member now the secondary water came like a decade ago 2014 and said hey we just need to lease storage to make our system work no ones using the water
37:20

Eric Plyer- Have you looked at the agreement

Noelle- yes I have I have read it multiple times

Eric- have you looked at the agreement that the people that own water rights can come and ask to gain access to their water and will not be stopped

Noelle: I've read the agreement I've read the agreement

Heidi- is it true is it true what Eric is saying you read the agreement

Noelle- No that is not what it says in the agreement

Eric-.....

Heidi- Yes it does

Noelle- no I've read it ...

Much commotion

Heidi- ok stop stop nope wait stop this is a culinary water co.

Noelle- I'm not finished he interrupted me

Heidi- I know Noelle....

Much commotion...

Noelle- don't put your hand in my face

Heidi- yes I am going to

Noelle- Heid I am not a child

.....

Noelle- excuse me I am going to finish my sentence

38:00

Wayne J (?) I'd like to hear.....commotion.....

Noelle- I'm the one that spreading the disinformation supposedly

Eric- Ya

Noell- so it's not disinformation

Heidi- did you say that we are hiring a third lawyer

..... overtalking by a few here....

Noelle-secondary water co does not have the right to go to Silver Leaf and take any water they want

Eric P- correct

Noelle- it is not owned by secondary water co. it is owned by culinary so that's why they come and had a contract to lease the storage that's the legal way to do it and then culinary gave permission to lease

Eric- so you are saying

Noelle- hold on

Eric-

Noelle-.....do not do that to me again

.....

Noelle- don't interrupt me again

.....

Noelle- when I'm done when I'm done

Eric- ok I ask for the same amount of time

(?)- dude, and we'll give it to you buddy trust me

.....

Noelle- so we entered a legal contract almost a decade ago to lease the excess storage capacity of Silver Leaf reservoir to run the secondary water system and since then lands been sold I don't know about any of that I don't care its none of my business what you guys do it makes no difference what you guys do but there is a legal contract we're in with culinary they have leased us the storage and no one can come in and get access to the water without a legal contract with culinary and as a shareholder this is why I think you guys are trying to sell it so you can try and break this legal contract and then I don't know have share holder spend millions of dollars building another reservoir so we can get the storage capacity we need to run the secondary water company

Eric-....

Heidi- ok hold on Eric

39:31

Heidi: so first I want to say that Noelle just admitted that she is assuming that this is the intention of the board Noelle has

Noelle: no that sentence is that my opinion as a share holder

Heidi: ok so that is also an assumption your opinion is an assumption

Noelle- of course but every..... Else isopinion

Heidi: so I want you to recognize that she is the one who is posting on social media on her assumption and her opinion

Noelle- I never shared that on social media my opinion

Heidi: she also did state that we had tried to hire a third lawyer which she said everything she posted was not misinformation that is false so I am not sure which part you want to say which part is true and which part is not Noelle but

40:18

Noelle: I think everything I have said unless somebody wants to correct me and if I'm wrong ... if I'm wrong if I'm wrong I'll admit that I'm wrong

Heidi: I appreciate that Eric is that ok if we just she said

Commotion

(?) women- lets just get to the meeting..... he said she said

Heidi- ok that's what thank you I do appreciate that ..

(?) man maybe Trevor Helm: Eric what's your name

Eric- Plyer Eric Plyer a member of the Durst Water Co. I bought phase six and I have X amount of water rights and that is real property as she states so as she is defending her real property

Noelle- I have no real property

Shhh Shhh

(?) Mike Johnson- let him talk

Eric P- (still trying to talk as Noelle interrupts him) I'm defending my real property and my rights I will post any and all agreements or give them to however wants to read them in the settlement agreement between the Gardners and the Wilkinsons it talks about the water delivery agreement and it talks about how I should be renumerated and paid for the water that has been used right

Noelle- no it does not

Shhh

(? Heidi or Earlene or)- let him talk

Noelle-.....he just asked me..... As soon as we use our water rights and establish them in Silver Leaf that clause is no longer valid

41:33

Eric- And what they are supposed to do we can go and we can ask for the meters to be read to see how much water has come out of the Silver Leaf reservoir right that's never been done

Noelle- its read all the time

Eric- is it

Heidi- I'm going to say though with that we have requested the meter readings from MGSWC they refused to this year they said they would give us past ones but that was in July and we have yet to receive these meter readings so if you say they are read we are still waiting for what they promised uscommotion

Eric- another thing I would like to say is ok I got a letter and this is going to put all your water in jeopardy people from the state and in there MGSWC got a grant that grant paid for Northside creek and probably some metering right so the state sent a letter to the MGSWC asked hey can you give us a record of what you've done with this water (?Email) 42:35 hmm I get a letter in the mail cuz I own water rights, from the Secondary water co. board, which did you sign off on that letter?

Noelle: I don't know what letter

Eric-well on that letter it said we need to show our rights and how we are using them right? From the state Well I get a letter from MGSWC stating, and they sent an email from the state says hey we have been trying to get ahold of you for numerous months we've called we've sent letters and we want to know what you are doing with this water well ok and so I read that and like alright we will do that I look on the state website half the letter was omitted so the secondary of the board took the part out that said you took money you have to account for what you are doing

43:31

43:31

Noelle- that is not an "I gotcha" Plyer they emailed us

Eric- Eric, Mr. Plyer

Noelle- yes, they emailed the letter twice to us the first time it was missing half and that's the one you got forwarded and the second email I saw the email

Eric- so anyway

Noelle- it doesn't even matter

Eric- we have to show the state hrm our usage and where we used it and we have to get those things now rectified with the state this is a big deal

Noelle- ya

Heidi- ok

Eric- and they don't want to work with us

Noelle- that's not true

Heidi- ok

Commotion...

Eric- I'd like to know what Northside Creek is other than water skiing are you guys going to use that to help irrigation

....commotion

Noelle – none of this has anything to do with Silver Leaf that's the reason we are here if you have grievances with Gardner go take them up with Gardner

Heidi- Ok.....Ok.....is that what you want to

(?) man- I have a very (?) about the actual topic

Noelle- yes, thank you

44:16

(?Bill Coutts)- so if you guys sell off Silver Leaf Reservoir, I have three questions:

#1 could it result in the reduction of the current service area

#2 will it maintain sufficient capacity for current users if you sell it off are you guarantying that

Current users still get their current capacity

#3 Will it effect current or new water storage capacity

And if any of the answers to theses questions is yes which I believe it is, let me remind you of this and I'm speaking as a member

Earlene- and your name

Bill Coutts- Bill Coutts, ok I want to remind the CMWC members of what is written in your bylaws dated May 2nd 2018

Article 9: service area states no reduction in service territory shall be made which would cause discontinuance of service to a member presently being served without the approval of said member

Article 10: expansion of water system goes on to state: the issuance of new shares to provide additional water service can only occur if the following are met

#1 The board must determine that there is sufficient capacity in the system to provide the requested additional service

#2 The board must determine that the provision of the requested additional service will Be detrimental to the interests of the company or its current members

Last thing I have to talk about, finally under your bylaws

Section 12: indemnification it says, the company shall indemnify if, now I'm talking about board members being protected if you get sued, the individual's conduct was in good faith i.e. You followed your own bylaws, and #2 the individual reasonably believed that the individual's conduct was in the company and member's best interest.

My bottom line is I believe the members of the CWMWC board are not following those guidelines of the bylaws I just read you're not following those if you sell off that reservoir and therefore you cannot be considered to be acting in good faith in the company and members invested in it.

46:53

Heidi: OK Bill let me ask you about the service area of what kind of water

Bill: So if you sell the reservoir

Heidi: OK

Bill: and someone buys that reservoir and decides they don't want to provide service to any of the current service areas

Heidi: But what kind of service

Bill: Well like secondary water

Heidi: OK please please note that we're a culinary water company it's not our responsibility to service the secondary water company

COMMOTION.....

47:28

Mike Johanson: If I may I have been here since 2010 managing this company and the misinformation that's going around this table right now is atrocious prior to my arrival when the Wilkinson family and Gardner Development ran this company together they mutually decided that CWMWC provide indoor water only to the Cottonwoods and Rollins Ranch that secondary water would be provided and that secondary water has been provided ok this board and then at the time that the 2007 the reservoir was deeded to CWMWC in 2013 when we did the settlement agreement between Gardner Cottonwood Creek and Wilkinson Family Farm the reservoir once again and all the infrastructure associated with the water company was deeded to CWMWC In 2014 we entered into a storage capacity agreement with MGSWC on the reservoir granting them a property right in the reservoir although you own a water right Eric that administrative decision about storage capacity in the reservoir is an administrative right only that takes both now there was no documentation that anywhere that we could find when we were doing the reservoir storage agreement that any storage capacity was retained by WFF not knowing if something would pop up later on we put a clause in that contract said that if there is other capacity claimants they should establish that through court order now none of these other capacity claimants have established that owner property ownership of storing water in the reservoir

49:36

Natasha- Whose going to pay the legal bill for the court order

Mike J- Mt Green Secondary Cottonwood Mutual in that ...

Eric- the court order would come to you though right so you're speaking as the board

Mike- No I'm speaking as one who was involved when that document was written it's my understanding to that this board requested of Chris Bramhall a memo on what his legal opinion is regarding the reservoir, I don't know what that memo says but I think that

(?) male- who did he present it to

Mike J- My understanding is he just presented it to Blain Murray and I don't know if that memo was disseminated to the board for them to review

50:20

(?) male- is that public knowledge can we all learn about that

Mike- I asked Chris about it and he said I'd have to talk to the board and get a copy but at the time the board requested of me for that memo but you as a share holder paid the legal bill for that memo

(?) male- he's the attorney that no longer works for us

Mike – Hes the attorney that no longer works for us Now Heidi is that misspeaking that's the truth

Heidi- it's the truth and Chris advised not to let the memo out just like he did about the water storage agreement that was in the heading letter

(?) male- do you board members know what that memo said yes or no

Earlene- yes

Heidi- I do

(?) male -ok

(? Raelene Blocker) can you tell us

Heidi- Yep

Raelene- Will you

Heidi- yes, I'll tell you it wads very long and it was

(?) male- well its an attorney that's a given

Heidi- that's fair you are right he did a history of the water company and that is not what we asked for we just asked for documentation and so it is one of the reasons we did not pursue it much however it did go into what he assumed and that is one of the reasons we did look into another attorney I do have some of his notes that says I assume and when another board member was here his wife's an attorney and he said what and he pulled that paperwork up and he was also

51:49

(?) male- he didn't quit we got rid of him correct

Earlene- no

Heidi-No the lawyer (then she is interrupted)

(?) male-the board got rid of him

Heidi- no no

Male- he didn't quit

Heidi- he resigned

Male-ok

Heidi- it goes back to what Mike said once again it says that other capacity claimants must sue the company

(?) women- so who would pay the bill would the rate payers pay the bill

Heidi- if I understand the water storage agreement f we were to use MGSWC to defend then yes that would have been you guys either way MGSWC or the culinary you would have to defend that lawsuit either way

52:27

(?) women- indistinct question

Heidi- If_____ will pay for that

(?Mark Greenwood)- _____ I have not seen that here

Heidi- Ok I just

(?) male- so did (my/mikes ?) points make sense to you as a board

Heidi- well I'm going to say that (interrupted here by Mark G)

52:42

Mark Greenwood- if I could interject something real quick one way we can run these meetings is by just sitting around here talking other ways I've seen these meetings work is you set time right and each thing gets well we kind of did that but we didn't is set time for discussion

(?) male- I thought it was 15 min. per person

Laughter

Mark G-Ya right, so I guess what I would purpose is to let the board speak for 15 minutes ok and I am also going to purpose all these other items if its ok with everyone else I want your opinion on this that we move it to the next meeting is there anything on here that has to be done

(?) male: ... ?

Heidi- I would like to discuss the wells and points of diversion

Mark - Okay, the diversion of wells, we can keep that one on so I'm going to make a motion that we move everything else. Can I get a second on that, other than the wells diversion.

Erlene, I

Heidi, I

Sean, I

Mark - We are going to make another proposal, that the board has 15 minutes to discuss this – Silverleaf Reservoir discussion. After that each person will get 2 minutes. And then we will start a timer. Each person will have 2 minutes and we will go as long as we have to. There is no interjection and no talking over each other. Everyone can talk when they need to. I'm asking everyone, Is that fair?

...many in the audience says, it sounds fair.

Mark. I'll make that motion, anybody second it?

Heidi, I'll second it.

Mark. All in favor. I'm starting the 15-minute timer for the Board to discuss the rest of the Silverleaf. We will cut it at 15 minutes.

Heidi. Okay, so some of the things we have discussed as a Board, I know Mark, you are new, One, We would not want to be part of being in between the water storage agreement and water agreements going on. Plus, we can't get all the information from Mountain Green Secondary Company, they have threatened to sue us a couple of times and we do see different things on social media that is not... we feel on our side we feel it is biased and misleading. That's why we proposed it to the two water companies, that are our shareholders. We didn't reach out to anyone else because we are trying to benefit all of our community. CWMWC does not have a limited boundary of our shareholders. We will have more development and we will have more developers coming in that we need to work with, so we are not limited. We can't be limited by just a small group. As I showed you before, the other water company has a vested interest there, but with that the requirement that they knew with their bid. The requirement was that they must take on the water storage capacity agreement. That was part of their agreement. It should not, as long as the agreement is being run correctly, they have to take that on.

(?) male...(Every year?)

Heidi. I'm sorry I didn't tell you that right away. Now with that information you need to recognize the storage capacity agreement only has 150-acre feet that you are able to lease. Now...that, you all know what was started in 2014 is when that agreement was signed. Do you know how many homes have come in since then? We cannot guarantee, I apologize, that you have enough water. That was what you were guaranteed, that was our agreement, 150-acre feet, that is what you have.

56:55

And so, if for some reason more development is taking more than that, we can't guarantee that that's not going to be effective. That will have to be MGSWC that you are going to have to talk to. We can guarantee that you have 150-acre feet still. Anybody else want to say anything?

Sean - I just wanted to add that above and beyond that 150 acre feet is supposed to be reimbursed to the other owners, of payment for what is used above and beyond that, and to my knowledge, and I might be a little ignorant on this, we have never been reimbursed for anything above 150 acre feet and it is not being metered. Some say it is, we don't know, we haven't been shown.

(?) male - Are there meters on the system?

...hold it, hold it...

57:40 - Mark, we've got to stick with this...so I will say I'm not as familiar with all these agreements, my first meeting was Monday. We sat here for 3 hours and started going through it. I'm not going to pretend that I know anything about it at this point. All I can say is that moving forward I think all of us, whether you are secondary water or this company, we've got to start thinking big, I don't mean big in scale, I mean big as in thinking of Mountain Green as a community as a whole. Is that fair to say? So we're not thinking of the interests of just the municipal water, and we're not thinking about just the interest of the secondary water. I can see one day, God willing, if we can get through all these differences, that we are creating a municipality. We are all waters that are under one organization, whether that's sewer, secondary or culinary, all I one. The more we do this, this type of meeting, the harder it's going to be to get to that. Now one of the benefits of being a municipality is we get to govern and dictate what's going on in this community, instead of us all being divided and an individual can go off and do whatever they want because they are just going to do an approval through the County. It makes it so the decisions are made closer to the community instead of further away. So the more we do this with these distractions and arguments and finger pointing, the further away we are going to get from that point. Now, do these discussions need to take place? Absolutely, that's why we are here, these things have to get resolved for us to be able to move forward. I can't say to heck with the past, we are just going to move on, we've got to resolve those differences. I guess I'm only asking from everything in this room, is that you know other people have feelings, and they have things they need to get done as well, and this is going to require a compromise. No one is going to be happy by the end of the compromise, but at least we will be moving forward. We will at least have the ability to make decisions for this community for Mountain Green. We are thinking big, we are thinking about the future of this city, and not stop ... 1:00:09

Is that fair to say for everyone?

Heidi – Thanks Mark.

Mark. Is there any other comments from the Board? All right I'm going to stop our time. We only took six minutes there, now moving forward, we will just focus on this topic. If you have a comment on another topic, you will have to wait until we get to that, and I don't think anyone will. We will give you two minutes before you start your comment, please state your name for the notes, and whether you are representing yourself as another Board member, or as just... Now we have had a fair amount of comments already, I'm willing to say let's start it over. If you have already talked, I'm not too worried, you can take your two minutes. If you want to give your two minutes to someone, go ahead, we are not going to limit that. If you want to say I agree with everything, such and such person said, that's great too, you don't have to regurgitate it. I think people will appreciate that. At this point, are there any questions on the process?

Noelle – Not the process, I just have a question.

1:01:13

Mark – Well, hold on for that, it will be part of your two minutes. Any questions on the process. All right perfect. At this point we will open it up for discussion. Please raise your hand....and make a note, try not to interrupt. Go ahead.

Noelle – I just have a question as a shareholder

Mark – And state your name.

Noelle – Noelle Dunkley, as a shareholder, not a board member of secondary, on the offer of Durst Water Company, what are their intentions on the reservoir? Are they going to try to get money from the State to try to expand the reservoir, like why would you buy a reservoir when there is only like 50-acre feet. Like I don't understand what their intentions are. That's the question, did they say that in their bid?

Heidi – They did propose that if they need adjustments, that they can do that. We did discuss that we are going to make those bids open in the office, so if you guys want to come and read it. But, yes, that is part of their plans. Would you say an adjustment?

Mark – There are two offers available and we are not going to make a decision on these offers tonight. And those two offers are available for anyone who wants to see them, and I even talked with Robert McConnell, who represents secondary, today. He is okay if people even want to take a photocopy.

Noelle – So I can look at the offer, and that's in the offer, their intentions.

Heidi – This is a kind of bid decision type thing Eric.

Eric – Eric Plyer, I brought our manager of Durst Water Company with us, I would like to defer my time to him. He can explain what's the offer. Whatever we can get in, in two minutes.

Ray Neddleton – 1:03:04 ... ? Suggest that we add to the sales agreement to the extent that Durst water rights are (?) not infringed. We will work with the community to make sure everyone is satisfied. And yes, if we acquire the reservoir we will approach the State, hopefully with the support of the community to make it as large as we can so we are all serviced and no ones water rights are infringed. Our model, our company, this will be on our website, is the transparent water supplier to everyone. We propose to merge before the proposed special service district, or in five years, when Mtn. Green is a city, or whatever it is, but we are not here to disrupt your water. You are our neighbors, we just want to use the water that is ours. We've been blocked since 2014 from using our water. It's wrong! I'm going to post all the letters of the offers we have made to MGSWC, they have ignored us, we tried to play by the rules, I'm going to post them all.

Heidi – If I may – oh sorry.

Mark – You have more time available Eric, two more minutes.

Eric – When you say, what's going to happen to the reservoir, when we propose we want to access our water, but also we want to help our community to go in and expand the dam with a grant, those things have been floated before, but there was eminent domain use to try and do so, strong-arming from lawyers. I don't like Bramhall because I saw what he recommended to this Board was do it now and let's get a lawsuit through, because if you don't do it now it might cost you more later. The one other kicker that is in the agreement. Whoever says or wants to go through and increase the size of Silverleaf retains all the water to themselves. All the extra storage to themselves. We as a water company won't do that, we will use what we need and the rest will be for the community. It won't be under some company that's going to charge you outrageous money for hook fees. We have x amount of homes that we can put on our property as per the development agreement through the County. It's a record, you can look at the development agreement, and we're going to follow that we are not speculating. We are 1:05:56 not trying to store water to do townhomes or something somewhere else. Our property is

(fixed?) property in the Cottonwoods. 1:06:04 So that is our proposal. Let's work together as a community.

And as like he said, there is going to have to be a compromise. At some point the MGSWC is going to sue them or us. We are going to sue them back, but the beauty of the water companies is that you guys pay all the legal fees, we are on our own and pay our own legal fees. So we really want to do it with the input of the community. We want to purchase it, we want to be good stewards, we are not speculative developers. I bought the property for my retirement. I'm not going to develop ever again. In fact I'm going to hire somebody, so that's basically my stand.

(?) male. Where is your property at?

Hold on.

Heidi – Can I offer some clarification, can I just say something? I will second that Durst Water Company has approached us and asked, not to compromise the water storage agreement. When they came they again said, we understand you have an agreement. We would just like to access the excess. So they have tried really hard to work with both companies and I forgot to tell you one of the things that CWMWC is looking at is a big repair fee that was part of the necessary improvements of the Amec report of 2004, and it was a long time ago. We've reached out because it is a very expensive report to do. We reached out to MGSWC and asked if they would like to share costs on updating the report. They denied wanting to be part of that, so we are going from that report, but there are some necessary improvements that haven't been done for over 20 years. So we as a board only had one bid back, but we are looking at over \$300,000 for those repairs. For the CWMWC that doesn't need the reservoir, that is an unnecessary cost for us, because we only need 50-acre feet. If we were only to put 50-acre feet in there we wouldn't need to have that much fixed. But to store that amount of water for the MGSWC to use, we have to do those repairs. That was another reason we thought why is the culinary water company even taking a tiny bid, why isn't the secondary water company that wants that storage, they can take on that cost so that is another reason why we thought we would put it up for bid. Okay Mark, who else had questions?

Eric – May I just add one more thing?

Mark – No.

Heidi – Sorry.

Mark – If someone wants to give you their time.

Earleen - They will get a copy, they can see it.

1:08:44

(?) male I have two questions, can the culinary water board sell it without a vote of all the shareholders? And two, who makes the final decision on the bids that come in? Is that the residents or the shareholders, or is it this board that has conflicts of interest?

Mark – Really good question, I think it's pertinent. There is a State rule, and I can't quote the rule for you.

Mike Johansen – It's title 16.6a.12.02 I believe.

(?) male. Sounds right to me.

Mark – 16.6a.12.02, and that defines the rules for these types of situations. In there it says, "A substantial property sale", right I'm simplifying it. By substantial, it's either all or substantial, so when you look at the total cost, the numbers we're getting in for the sale of this is \$200,000. Now it's actually convenient that we got the audit from this year, so when we look at the total assets,

1:09:45

it's about \$4,000,000, so I wouldn't put \$200,000 as a substantial portion of \$4,000,000 in assets. Now that being said, it's a big decision. When it comes to the ability to be able to sell it, it can legally stay within the Board. Now, back to this idea of thinking big, now that is up to a legal interpretation. I'm not a lawyer, there will be other lawyers that disagree with me, and that's fine. I'm not going to stand up and (interrupted by

(?) male – Since I still have a minute, can't we just hire an attorney, one that we like, ... (commotion) and actually one that we want.

Mark – But let me say this, back to the safety(?) of thinking big. I don't want it to go to court. That is in no one in this room's interest, because it is going to cost money. Everyone in this room has to feel somewhat comfortable with whatever decision we make moving forward, so it doesn't go to litigation. The only thing we should be paying lawyers to do is to put agreements and contracts in for us. If we are sending them to court we are losing. It doesn't matter which side we are representing, we are losing. No one will win in that scenario.

1:10:51 (?) male My last question, can the Board commit to putting it out to a vote before they make a decision? To the shareholders of MGSWC, I know you said they don't have to, which I disagree with, but can we get a commitment from the Board that we will do that? So that's to you.

1:11:17

Heidi – That's to me? Okay. This is one of my questions. It is often put in there that there's a conflict of interest because of my maiden name. I don't own a share in Durst Water Company, I do not personally.

(?) male But you have it in the culinary, right?

Heidi – I do own a share in the culinary water company, but I am curious if we open it up to the conflict of interest How can a shareholder not have a conflict of interest if they are in both companies? If you are a shareholder in the culinary water company trying to benefit MGSWC, that in itself is a conflict of interest.

(?) male It only benefits me if it is in my interest, and if it happens to be a secondary piece of that, secondary is benefiting from it, so be it

Heidi – So that's exactly what it is. (interrupted)

(?) male But you are doing the same thing as a Board member, being a ... (1:12:14) related to the Wilkinsons.

Heidi – So if that's something we are interested in, then we can open it up to the shareholders who have only AB interest. They do not have secondary water, then they would be the only group of people that do not have a conflict of interest?

... commotion ...

Mark – Good questions though.

Heidi – Other questions?

(Commotion)

Earlene – Go to someone else.

Mark – Do we have any questions in the back?

David Gossling – Is there a reason why the Mtn. Green HOA can't buy this as an owner? Why can't we own it, we are the ones who benefit from it, so I recognize you are a developer and this is your retirement. I've lived in Arizona for 30 years, I've watched community after community destroyed by developers that retain the water rights, the sewer rights and all that. I don't want to be that.

1:13:40

All of us have very expensive homes that are worthless if you don't have water. As a community you can be part owner, the MOA should be the ones that should own it. Period!

Earlene: Do you want to put in a bid?

(?) male By the show of hands, who will put \$1,000 up? We can put in a bid as a MOA.

.... Let's do it(?) I'll put in some dough(?)

.... Commotion.....much overtalking....

(?) male I have an alternate solution.

Heidi – Hold on, hold on, we can't

Mark – Hold on, hold on, sorry.

Bill Coutts - I'm the MOA President.

Heidi - You have to wait for your time.

....commotion....

Mark – Why don't you take your two minutes?

Bill Coutts – I'm the one that brought up that I think that you are going against your by-laws by selling this and not insuring that we have enough capacity. You can say whatever you want about ...?, but as far as going under the MOA, we are not set up to do that, or don't want to be set up to do that, but what it could go under is the Mtn. Green Sewer Improvement District. You put that under the water company under the sewer district like you were saying.

Mark – Municipalities

Bill Coutts – Now we have a municipality that is set up and is run, and we still have water that's mine. Thank you very much for your time.

Heidi – Hold on for a second, I think Noelle is going to do her two, and we have someone over here. Is that okay?

1:15:33

Noelle – Just to bring up again, the water rights, like Mike said, aren't the answer to everything, because there are two parts. Either you have to be on the title to the land that owns the reservoir, or be in a legal contract with the owner of the title of the land who owns the reservoir. If this is sold to Durst, now they have the title land of the reservoir, they become in first position, just like culinary was in first position, secondary comes in last and then you will only be granted the sum of 30-acre feet. The water rights we actually have and our lease agreement ...

? (woman) Wow, wow ?

You only have 30 acres in there?

Noelle – Water rights, water rights, that's why we had a lease agreement.

Earleen: Is that right? Is that what she said? (Heidi) No, it's less than 30.

Noelle: Yeah, we know it. But that's the whole reason we have a lease agreement.

....Much overtalking....

Noelle: That is the reason we have a legal binding lease agreement with culinary. We are not on title, as soon as that title switches hands to someone else who owns water rights, they have both pieces, like Mike said, and they come in first position and all the secondary water users, we come in last.

Heidi – Is she done?

Noelle: Slight of hand right there, slight of hand.

Heidi – Wait one second, one second. Hold on one second if I can say. (interrupted)

(?) woman - I'd say slight of hand too, sorry.

1:17:02

Heidi: That's okay.

Mark – Let's limit the Board's interaction at this point, and we'll only answer questions and will have time at the end to wrap up..... ?

1:17:19

Gretchen Sheffield (she is difficult to hear) – I'm pro development. I love the idea that we create the municipality where we can advocate for them ?... What percentage of culinary water users are secondary?

Mark – 72% of municipal people also have secondary.

Gretchen – I'm really trying to understand why the Board would make a decision to put them in the secondary position where they are representing the vast majority Of the secondary

Mark - We can respond to questions but a recap is ... Is your question, who do you feel....

Gretchen – Why would the culinary water board make a decision..... ?

Mark: I can address that. Again, I don't know the legal requirements as well as I should, but I will say when you look at the face of it, and this is not thinking that big, this is just a response. Why does the municipal water company own the reservoir for the secondary water company, and why does the secondary water company own water tanks used by the culinary? It doesn't make sense. In my opinion, we've got to get that whole situation figured out, that everyone is served. Whether to sell it is the answer I can't tell you.

Gretchen – In addition to that 150 feet that were originally granted, what with the new development, what amount of feet would actually serve??? 1:19:12

Heidi – So are you talking about the new water company he's looking at?

1:19:18

Gretchen -? When the secondary originally leased the reservoir of the 150 feet, that says what percentage of (?) homes served.

Heidi – We don't know. That wasn't part of our responsibility.

Gretchen – ... ? Is it actually less than the additional development that has happened? ... question

Heidi – I honestly don't know. I do know that at the time they leased the Silverlake Reservoir, that they had intentions of having another storage reservoir, which was called the gravel pit reservoir at the time. That is full of MGSWC company water. I have the documentation right here to, that is now called Northside Creek. It is privately owned by the developer, it has 100 acre feet of MGSWC water in it. It's your water rights, the problem is the water that's in it – (Mike Johansen tries to interrupt)

Heidi - The thing is we actually, when we had a meeting, here with MGSWC lawyers, I asked the developer, is there 100 acre feet of MGSWC? and he said yes, I allowed them to store it there. And I asked, can they access it and both of his lawyers stopped him from answering. So, I'm just saying that again, if you want to get on the State website and look up where MGSWC owns water. This is the paperwork that I printed up today. If you want to look at it afterwards I will point out that you have 100 acre feet of water storage in that reservoir that if you want to go after you are welcome to try to access it, cause it's yours.

Noelle – I can answer that question after the meeting. I have the answer. Anybody else wants to answer, I can answer that question for you.

Mark: Any other questions?

1: 21:13

1:21:13

Jesse Summers. Can you ask Brimhall for a copy of that again? But if it costs us a little bit of money and then if I understand things right, for Eric and WFF and Durst, if they want to get water in they; need to do the lawsuit, which means they are kind of wasting their time here, but if they have all their ducks in a row it shouldn't cost much money and it should go rather smoothly, and then, No. 3, they tried to make a city before on several occasions since I've lived here and it's been at least some members of the Wilkinson family that opposed it, along with other people, which is probably why....

Sheila Wilkinson. Don't use that name, because you don't know! If you want to refer to them as people, okay, but don't use that name.

Jesse. Hey, I was in a meeting and they all.... (much overtalk)

Mark - Will you state your name please.

Jesse: - If I'm wrong I'll apologize. I am Jesse Summers, I live on Garnet Drive. I am not in the secondary company, but I am a former board member. I'm sorry, I forgot to do that. The last thing I want to bring up is, you mentioned you do not have any shares in your family's businesses, but the very appearance of you voting for things that may benefit them will be the appearance of impropriety and so anything that involves them, you should absolutely abstain from voting.

(Clapping)

Heidi - Can I just say that this gentleman right here said that he's a member....and what benefits him is what he is going to vote for and so what you said, Jesse, and I appreciate what you are saying, so if that goes for me I think it goes for him also.

(Commotion)

Jesse Summers - If he's a developer or has other interests.

Heidi - I can abstain. I can!

Jesse - When I was on the board something came up with my employer and it was really nothing, but I abstained completely.

Mark - You have 15 seconds.

Jesse - It passed with no problem and I didn't need to abstain, but I didn't need to vote either, just to remove the thought of impropriety.

Heidi - Thank you Jesse.

Mark - Thank you.

Heidi - Now we have one behind us.

Raelene Blocker - I'm a shareholder....(?) A personal thanks....for your ... job ...) Second of all, we're not going to solve this problem tonight. Third of all, as I look around this room I think I can recognize every face here, you are all to me very smart and geniuses. We all have these great ideas that we can collaborate and bring together. I'm wondering if the board is open to, people can go home and think about their ideas on how to solve this problem, sending an email if you are willing to read all their suggestions, and coming up with a great great solution because we are really smart people in this room. I know we don't want to butt heads like that, we all live in a community. We are a community we care for each other and I think if we work together we can make a really good decision here.

Mark - I like the idea of just a working session where we just focus onThank you.

Heidi - Anybody else back there?

1:24:58

(?) male - Can I take that a step further?

Heidi and Mark - Please state your name.

1:24:58

Matt Bingham – I think we need to come together as shareholders (?) there is a big group of them (?) we probably need to have another meeting and discuss operations of There's way more that needs to be solved that can be solved here. (?)

Mike Johansen – What is your name?

Matt Bingham – Matt Bingham.

(Overtalking)

Mark: Let me clarify, we are not making any decisions on anything....

Matt Bingham – What is your(?)

Mark – I don't have one at the moment..... unless the board

Heidi – You have 5 minutes before you leave.

Mark -

1:25:50

Hey, Heidi, Andrew Phillips, a shareholder. I just want to make a quick comment You guys are all worried about conflict of interest, but you know Rulon Gardner, who owns MGSWC, is on the board of the MOA, so you are trading one (? Board) for the other, just want to make sure what to worry about. (a bunch of over talking)

Mark – Andrew, do you have anything else to say?

Andrew – Nope, that's it.

Mark – Okay, next.

Heidi – Is there anybody else back there we can't see? We've got a gentleman back there.

Mark – I can see one here, will you come up?

Wayne Johnson - 5823 Willow Bend Drive. My opinion about the community, I believe that if you sit on the board or the County Council, it should be for the good of the community. If you are on the Board and causing grief amongst each other, I don't care if it's Gardners or if it's Wilkinson. I believe you should set aside for the good of the people, let a new board or fresh people come in to it with open minds that don't have issues with each other, take it and run it for the good of the people. Whether that's Morgan County, the Sewer Department, whatever it may be.

(Clapping and whooping)

Mark Johnson – Are you good?

Heidi – This gentleman over here first.

1:27:34

Tyler Nielsen – I'm a shareholder at 4081 West Ivy, have there been any engineering studies that outline impacts of the actions that were purposed, I'm just curious, that's my big question. Is what are the impacts of this decision?

Mike Johansen – What type of engineering studies are you referring to?

Tyler – Well, I mean how much water is there, how much water do we need? What's going to happen to.....

Mike Johansen - (tries to interrupt)

Tyler -matters what happens to the secondary water and the culinary water. I don't want to run out of water.

Mike Johansen – The culinary company has done a hydraulic study, a few years ago we did an impact facility study, I'm working on our current source availability and what would happen in the future and

what it would take to maintain certain levels of service I don't know if MGSWC has done those studies, but I know that we have done those studies.

Mark -I think, ultimately these decisions are not so much focused on the infrastructure and....(?) What restraints....how would it affect the infrastructure, say it's the wells or the streams or the overflow of the dam, whatever it is, this discussion, what it really comes down to is, if we did have control of managing where that water goes and who it can go to. So it doesn't necessarily effect the physical attributes, but it effects the decisions behind the ability to send that water towards.....

(?) male – Yeah, I don't understand a lot of this, but from where I sit, it seems like the board and various developers, there needs to be a lot more cooperation. I mean, there needs to be, I like what you said earlier, everybody has a stake in this, we all live here, before we do anything, I would really like to see a lot more collaboration and some coming together, because we all have a stake in it.

Ron Hartman, 6468 Fairview Drive, (bunch of overtalking)I agree with this gentleman, I agree with that gentleman. We all need to collaborate together so I have a question for the board. If there are any conflicts on the Board they need to recuse themselves. We should bring more of our community into the Board to help make these decisions as a community and as shareholders. I rest my case.

Mark – As far as declaration, I've done mine. As far as declaration of conflicts, I don't have much other than I share representation with Farm Brown, with the company I work for.

Ron Hartman – I just feel a lot of conflict in this room, of families and feuds that's got to go away.

(?) male - Can we get the declarations in writing?

Mark – I don't know if there is a requirement for that or not.

(?) male – It would be nice.

Heidi – Hey, we forgot for the hand raise.

1:31:03

Alesha Archibald – May I say something?

Mark – Go ahead.

Alesha – So if that's the case, if we are to come together. I listen to you throw out the Wilkinson name, and I listen about the developer and I listen about this. Are you able to recuse yourself from having conflict as well. As people we also, because I'm listening to this as well, I'm saying, us as people, because I've listened to them clap, I've listened to, "Oh yeah! Oh yeah!" I've looked at people looking at people, like, "Yup, that's you!" Are we going to be okay for us to come together? It's not the board, sure, but as I'm sitting here I'm watching it going between him, her, her, him, and this way and this way. You are sitting in meeting saying come together and you are doing exact opposite of these people here on the board, sitting on the board, and I'm wondering are we really actually going to be able to do what we are professing to say, are we going to be able to come together, not clapping in each other's faces, but be able to say I disagree, but can we work this out together, because this meeting has been really interesting as we are trying to come together. So us, as people are we going to take back that part of us. I am a Wilkinson and it has been really hard from the place I was raised in, and that I love, to be all of a sudden to be a terrible person to have this name as a maiden name. The Wilkinsons, the Wilkinsons, because I just heard it again in here. It is hard

(?) male ..tries to interrupt

Shhh

1:32:33

Alesha – It’s my turn. Yes, my maiden name is Wilkinson but I also love everyone here that has moved in, because you have all come on to property that we used to ride horses on and things like this. We love that you are here. We are grateful that you are here. But are we going to be able to take those things and set it aside, for all the social media posts and all the other things, or hearsay or whatever, as we look at each other. Are we going to be able to do that and be able to say, Wait a second, where did you hear that information. I’m not going to listen, I’m going to a meeting and I’ll sit here and do this. Instead of saying, like, well did you hear that did you hear that, I don’t think that’s right. Are we going to be able to do that? That’s what I’m asking, honestly, are we going to be able to do that?

(?) male – Get rid of social media and we can.

Alesha – Yes!

Overtalking

Wayne Johnson – Have you came to one of these meetings and tried to work with this Board?

Heidi – Hey, stop him!

Alesha -- That’s what I just talked about.

Wayne – Well I’ve came to these Board meetings.

Heidi – Stop him! Stop! He said two minutes, are you done with your two?

.....Lots of over talking.....

Ray Nettlelton – It’s too hot in here! If anybody has questions for Durst, I’m outside!

Heidi – Is she done with her two?

Mark - Thank you so much.

Heidi – Okay, we have one behind you.

Trevor Compton – 6588 Willow Creek. I grew up here so I have a couple questions.....have we talked about benefits for the shareholders for selling it. What is the actual, who benefits? The second question I wanted to ask.....? You got a bad experience ... with Northside Creek Development. Apparently there were 100 shares and It was supposed to be accessible to us..... there is clearly a distrust for developers, why now are we trusting a developer to do what they say?

Heidi – He’s asking, can I answer? That was information for you, that you do have MGS water available to you, somewhere else, if you can access it. That was more information. It’s not distrust of developers. We reached out to the two developers that (1) offered to buy the reservoir, and (2) that will benefit our shareholders. The one already has shareholders available. The other one is putting a development in and they will have shareholders.

(?) Woman -question

Heidi: Two developers those were the two that actually came to us and offered to buy. So we reached out to those two.

(?) woman Can you tell us who they are?

Mark – Hold on

Heidi - MGSWC and Durst.

(Lots of over talking)

Heidi – Did I answer that, oh, you wanted to know the benefits.

Trevor – That’s what I’ve not heard yet. Why is it beneficial to any of the shareholders who are just plain homeowners?

1:35:23

Heidi-I'm pondering that question. I'll tell you how it can benefit CWMWC. Because that right now is more of our concern. Take this, okay, than just the shareholders. The company itself is what we read, you'll be able to read the bids but these are some of the different things. As development has come in, interesting way how they managed, how they signed over will-serve letters. Right now we are in a situation, that we are in a deficit of storage, CWMWC is.

(?) male water.....

Heidi – Water storage. Usually in the past what happens a developer comes in, they provide source, storage and right to the water company, and the water company issues a will serve letter. CWMWC doesn't own any water per se, excess water. We have what we have now or we have leased it. When the last couple phases came in we got a verbal that they had storage and source but we never wrote a contract, so at this time we are a deficit of storage. We thought that eventually that storage tank that we had assumed, almost four years ago, would be turned over to us. We had assumed it, now we are told, no they are not going to turn it over to us, but we can lease it if we want. We are looking at a lease agreement for storage and looking at a maintenance agreement. One of the things Durst offered was storage. That benefits CWMWC. It's a tank that's done, it's ready to go, we don't have to build it, we don't have to buy land, we don't have to wait on it, it's available. So that's why it wasn't to the highest bidder, it is what will benefit CWMWC, and in the long run it does actually benefit the shareholders, because it's immediate and we're not looking at the amount of money that would cost for construction. So the Silverlake Reservoir doesn't benefit the CWMWC per se, it does the shareholders but not the company itself. It's a liability, it's a high expense and so we figured if we gave it to the shareholders, if they took it on, they are not going to lose their storage because they have to take on the agreement. I'm sorry that if Noelle assumes that these people are dishonest and are going to undercut you. I don't know what to say to that. If that's who you are working with and that's what you are going to assume, without working with them. When that bid went out they knew they had to take on that water storage agreement, 150 acre feet, that's it. It's 150-acre feet that they have to provide to MGSWC. It's not this big drama that has been created, it's going to switch management and they are going to give you the 150- acre feet of secondary water that your contracted with CWMWC.

Trevor: Did I finish my two minutes?

(Much overtalking)

Mark: Take 30 seconds.

1:38:59

Trevor: I've got to dumb this down.....So you are saying the only benefit is it will come with a storage tank.

Heidi – The only benefit?

Mark – So to put a number behind it, yes. The State requires, mostly for fire responsivethat we have enough tank storage to put the fire out. So currently, by our numbers, we are about 87,000 gallons short on our storage. To build a tank, we are looking at about \$2.00 a gallon.

(Much overtalking)

(?) male – Now this is a culinary tank?

(Much overtalking)

Mark – Yes.

Mike Johansen – Can I have 30 seconds?

Mark – Go ahead.

1:39:34

Mike Johansen – Wayne and Duane over there, partnered with Gardner Development. They built a million-gallon tank. They’ve drilled two additional wells with every intention of putting them into our water system. I have been working with them over the past few years to get an agreement in place but they wanted to wait until their wells were (? Equipped), so we could wrap everything into one agreement and that was fine. When things started to go south was when Lee’s Market came on board and historically I have issued will serves with conditions, because people need to get their entitlements through the County and there is the cart before the horse kind of thing, so we issued them a conditional will serve. This Board did not want to issue a conditional will serve.

Heidi – Hold on, we’ve got to put in here really quick, the only reason we wouldn’t issue it is because we couldn’t get an email from the developer guaranteeing they would give us storage and water. It was just an email.

(Much overtalking)

Mark – Let’s hold on!

Earlene – We’re not going down that road.

(Move overtalking)

Mike Johansen – There is a million-gallon tank that the master plan was to put that into our system.

Mark: What Mike is getting at is there is a million gallon tank in Rollins Ranch that has storage ? that we can use

Heidi – But the problem is Gardner and Johnsons have now created their own culinary water company so we run into that conflict that Mike said. We do not want to share tanks, we don’t not want to share infrastructure because that puts a whole other thing into our system, conditions that we do, and obligations and stuff. That’s why, like I said, it’s kind of a mess, as we’re trying to figure out how to benefit the culinary water company. Yes we can lease it, but we are leasing water with another water company, which puts us mixing water and obligations.

(overtalking)

Mark – Us creating more water companies puts us further away from getting a municipality together, in my opinion.

Jake Allred – So you are saying we are short how many gallons?

Mark – 87,000.

Jake – And you say it’s \$2 a gallon?

Mark – To build, and that doesn’t include land.

1:41:53

Jake – Okay, so at \$2 a gallon to build, we’re out?

Mark – Ish, sure

Jake – So we are willing to sell our water rights for \$200,000 when we could build one for \$400,000?

Mark – Round number, yeah sure. Yeah, I don’t know, we still have to find land.

Heidi – It’s timing. And it’s timing?

(Much overtalking)

1:42:26

Heidi – Hold on, hold on, anybody else out there? Oh, sorry, you still have some minutes.

Jake - I don't know the Wilkinson Gardner story.....? But what I would ask is that the Board represents?

If there is a conflict....that a third party could come in.....is there a conflict of interest here?

?.....?

That they make sure they represent their district. There may be some benefit....is this legit, a conflict of interest or not, then temporarily if that's what it is for those decisions recluse yourself from that decision, doesn't mean we don't want people on the Board. The third thing, I'm a little baffled that we as shareholders do not have access to the documents. That we have to come in here..... I appreciate that the lawyer advising youbut as a shareholder I have a right to those documents, I don't feel like they should be closed off.....

Heidi – I appreciate that. Back there two more.

Mark – You got to get somebody to give you their time.

(?) male – So, are there storage.

Mark: Is someone going to give you their two minutes.

Earlene: There is a gentleman right back there.

(Much overtalking.)

Mark – You've been waiting.

Earlene – Okay, what's your name?

1:44:32 Dustin Dayton – My only question is, it kind of builds off what he was saying, somebody earlier mentioned that roughly 70 percent of the shareholders also use secondary water, so my question is what percentage of the board members are secondary water holders?

Mark – I'm on secondary water.

Heidi: That's already on our agenda, we are missing a board member. In District 2...

(Overtalking)

(?) male - That won't make any difference.

Mark - (talking while others are talking. They arrive at 2/5th.)

Heidi – It will be 2/5th.

?Dustin - 2/5ths representing 72% of the shareholders.

(Varied conversations)

Heidi – We need someone from District 2.

(?) women – Heidi, don't you mean District 4?

Heidi – Yes, District 4.

(?) male – Where is District 4.

Heidi – The A, B, C streets and down.

Mike Johansen – Phase 4 or Phase 7, Northside Creek.

(?) male – I volunteer.

(overtalking)

?Dustin if the board doesn't represent the percentage of the shareholders is there a way to alter the makeup of the ones that are representing.....

Mark – So the next elections is in March and then

(?) Dustin - So in between that time?

Mark – In the bylaws there is no way of adjusting and there is nothing in the bylaws that states.

1:46:09

(?) woman – You can be recalled.

(Much overtalking)

Heidi said to Mark – You've got two minutes.

Mark – Okay.

Sheila Wilkinson – I'd like to clarify something.

Heidi – State your name please. Talk louder please.

Sheila – I want to clarify something

Earlene – Louder, I'm recording.

Noelle – Really loud, Sheila.

Sheila – It's not Wilkinson and Gardner. It's Johnson and Gardner. Do you want them to take over your water? Because I do believe that this is what their goal is. To be able to control all the water on our end. So what is more important to you? The culinary water, which we need, or the secondary water which you are going to have to truck in if we don't have enough. They have set us against culinary and secondary, so you want to look into which one you want to support.

(Much overtalking)

1:47:32

(?) male – So are there homes that don't have storage, that have been built?

(?) another male – I don't know how they could have been built, and if there needs to be more storage, they should be the ones that should be given the bill to put in more storage. If they do have storage, then we don't need Durst storage, that's out of our hands. Thank you.

Heidi – Mike, do you want to answer that?

Mike Johansen- Which one?

Heidi – Were there houses built without storage?

Mike Johansen – Well no, because we can argue, Jesse. I said no because we were basing our decisions on the million-gallon tank.

Heidi – (Interrupts) Speculation.

Mike – Also, no, the tank was built, it wasn't speculation. Also if there is any argument about the capacity, the capacity.... Fire storage.....is that the Fire Chief requires 570,000 of fire storage in our system. Are we dipping to fire storage, yes. And should that be replaced, Sure. No Duane and Rulon have offered getting into a maintenance agreement to provide that storage in the million-gallon tank.

Duane Johnson – Do you know of any water that I'm going to gain from Wilkinson Secondary Water ?.....

Heidi – Hey, it's not your turn.

(Much overtalking)

Heidi – We've got to give him two minutes.

Mike Johansen – If somebody wants to give Duane two minutes

(much overtalking)

Duane Johnson – Let me tell you, I had a choice to go with either Highland Water Company or CWMWC 8 or 9 years ago. I've come to this Board and this Board in good faith said yes, we will serve all of your ground, so in good faith we built a million-gallon tank. You've been using it for three years. I have not got one penny out of it, not one penny, so Lee Market comes. You people don't want a market, that's what is going to happen, you are not going to get one. So they came to this Board to get will serve, guess what, they were denied.

1:49:53

Heidi – I want to reiterate again, the only reason it was denied is because we couldn't get a signed guarantee from the developer that they would provide the water.

Duane – They were willing to go get water from Weber Basin to turn it over to you. You denied it.

Heidi – All we ask was an email for proof.

(Much Overtalking)

Duane: You can say what you want to say.

(?) male – I can answer this question on his behalf. We've been straight up and tried to work the best of our ability

(Much overtalking)

Mike Johansen – I've been working in good faith with Duane and Rulon, so they have been great to work with.

1:50:35

Heidi – Again, but we could not get an email with a signature guarantying water. That's it! We couldn't get an email with a signature guarantying water. For the life of us we do not know why and it has turned people against us and that's all we've asked. So you can ask the developer, Why wouldn't you just give us an email to CWMWC guarantying it? I would like to know. We don't know.

(Much overtalking)

(?) male – What are you actually asking them to guaranty?

Heidi – To guaranty that we would have water to serve them, because we don't have water, we don't own water or storage to service anymore, it's all through the developer. The developer has got to bring, or the person has got to bring some type of email, saying yes, I'm bringing water. It's part of the will serve and they use our company to send the water to them. We don't have water to give. We couldn't give them any water, we couldn't give them a will serve because we didn't have the water to give.

Duane Johnson – I just told you, they were willing to go to Weber Basin to get water, you said no.

Mark – As I understand, in answer to the question of storage is, the million-gallon tank is at Rollins Ranch is not fully allocated out, there is storage available there. So if I'm Joe Schmo and want storage, I can go to them, buy or lease whatever

Heidi – But you need to bring us proof that they are guaranteed.

Mark – But then that as our option to provide service, same with a water right, typically you purchase a water right, from this case Weber Basin...

(?) male – Is this going to get worked out?

Mark – Yeah, we'll get it worked out. It's going to take some time.

(?) male - I gotta buy my beer.

Mark – Okay, other questions?

(Over talking)

1:51:40

Heidi – Mark, we do have a question or two on the meeting chat. Okay we talked about that, the great question, we believe that shareholders will send out all shares, as members we can vote any way we want without any conflict of interest. Has CWMWC ever lost money at the end of the year?

Mike Johansen – No, we have dated numbers at the end of the year, due to the fact that we bought capital improvements, which has been budgeted for, and which the funds were available, but no we have never lost money per se, in the sense of the word we are running into a deficit each year.

1:53:20

I'll take two minutes

Lynn Stevenson - If I am understanding right, the will serve couldn't be served because you haven't provided an email, and are you the one, Mike, facilitates getting the email or does the Board have to do it? You provide that the Board's held up.

Mike Johansen - I used to reach out to the developer and talk to them about the needs of the individual that was seeking service. This new Board came on and asked me not to do that anymore.

Heidi - So what happened is he did get a verbal response, the Board asked him to get an email response, a paper trail. We asked Mike to reach out to get a paper trail, we said we don't want verbal agreements because that's how it's been in the past, but we can't guaranty a verbal agreement, so we asked for an email one, which I thought Mike did reach out and ask for an email, again we have never seen.

Lynn - Is that why we don't have the tank right now, that we should have, because it was a verbal agreement instead of a written agreement? We should we have a water tank in our culinary company, and we don't have one. Is it because we didn't get it written, is that why? Because we are missing out on a million-gallon tank, because it was a verbal instead of a written. I'm just wondering.

Mike Johansen - Well, we were working out the agreement. But anyway I've already answered that.

Heidi - So it is true, as (?Duane) has said the CWMWC has been using their tank for almost 4 years without any time of agreement, so yes, it was supposedly to happen and it never did, and now we don't even have that opportunity too.

Lynn - So I'm glad you want the written agreement. I've got to say that, thank you.

Nathasha Plyer - Can I just say one thing about the reservoir? If MGSWC purchases the reservoir, the rate payers will pay for it. If Durst Water Company purchases the water, Durst will pay for it. Also, Durst, we don't have anything to gain by trying to subvert anything, we just want to do things but we haven't been able to because, people can't behave or get along, or whatever. I just want to say that is a benefit of us purchasing that. We will abide by all the agreements that are in place, as far as like, whatever you guys have, we just want to work with the community.

Mark: - Other comments? Are we wearing everyone down?

(Overtalking)

1:56:27

Blair Frederickson - 6439 Fairview.

(Overtalking)

Blair - I've spent my whole life dealing with 50 plus years, so the solution is not turning it over to the (City and County?.....) Those things are mired in crap. No, that process is getting harder and harder. I just want to know where I can volunteer to put in my application.

Heidi - CWMWC.

Blair - Is it online?

Heidi - Or you can do it in person.

(?) Male - What I have to say is super, super important. Whoever gets the reservoir, make sure there is a clause that they have to put in a water ^{sky} horse.. (laughter) (?) That's an insurance problem. It's got to be in the clause. _{course}

Heidi - Okay, we have a gentleman back there.

Jason Johnson - 4200 Tulip Drive. I have a couple of questions. 1, what is the duration of the contracts of the culinary and secondary to store.

1:57:37

Heidi – They get to renew it every five years.

(Much overtalking)

(?) male – It’s due up next year.

Mike Johansen – But they can renew it if they provide us with documentation.....

Jason – Is there a limited number of shareholder water that should have access to secondary. What I have heard is they don’t have access to it right now. Is that right? Only secondary has access to the reservoir water right now?

Heidi – That’s right.

Jason – How many other shareholders are there that should be able to access?

Mark -?

Jason – So maybe you’ve answered that, they don’t have any right to store there unless they go through the legal court?

Heidi – That’s where this two-part comes in. They have the State legal right, the State recognizes that they put water in the reservoir, but they don’t have property right, which they had to obtain from CWMWC, because they own the property. So the Durst Water company has the States administrative rights to store water and then MGSWC does not, according to the State, unless you recognize an agreement they made with Durst Water Company. And they have on of, again this is why we are in a hard situation here, if we are to allow MGSWC to use Durst water right, to use their administrative right, but we don’t recognize Durst as an owner. That doesn’t make sense, it can’t be possible. If we recognize Durst, then we recognize Durst, they either have a water right or they don’t. Like I said, this is how it’s been for a couple of months. Okay, anyone else?

→ Buster Delmonte – 6472 Willow Creek Road. I’d like to piggyback on Trevor, I’m also representing the low-information shareholder, I probably don’t have this right, but I have been burned in other business deals where I have no reason to doubt or mistrust Durst, then two buyers down they can sell it. When you lose control it’s kind of like the Green Bay Packers that are owned by the people. I haven’t heard enough cons to owning the reservoir.

Heidi – For CWMWC?

2:06:07 Buster – For shareholders, and I understand and I appreciate you, you know, drawing a line between making sure what’s in the company and shareholders, but it’s really kind of one in the same. We are the company, if I’m understanding this correctly, as a shareholder, in ownership, generally speaking is better than not owning something. Depending on most assets, so I haven’t yet heard a good enough argument to where what little vote I have, I would vote in favor of selling something that I understand I currently own, particularly when I hear, if I heard it right, Durst is in the same position with us as we are now with Northside Creek.

Heidi – That’s correct. We have water in Northside Creek that we can’t access, why would I ever want to put myself in another position like Northside Creek if Durst someday, 10 years, 20 years, I intend to live out the rest of my days here, in their interest they sell to somebody who doesn’t necessarily have the history of 20 years ago 10 years ago, whatever, now my family is at a disadvantage so.... I just want to register that at this point I haven’t heard enough in favor of selling an asset that I believe I am part owner.

Heidi – Well said!

(Overtalking)

Mark – Any other questions, comments?

2:02:20

Jeremiah West – 5946 Park Meadow Drive. Can we put in bids as well, as shareholders, so I'll bid \$41,000. I want it written down.

(Much overtalking)

Jeremiah – Well, it's cheaper than a pool, has way more water, and my kids would love to kayak in it and paddle boards, so I'm offering \$41,000.

(?) Male - \$41,500.

Louise Early – What is the time line for the board to make this decision, or to take in bids, is anything established by the board as to dealing and resolving the reservoir issue?

Heidi – Now we really were just trying to see our options, to see where we are at.

Mark – There is no due date or pressure point.

Louise – So far on based on what you've heard tonight, is just the status quo, something you are more highly considering, because of people's feelings here?

Mark – I think it's something we will discuss further for sure. If anything, in my opinion, this is a chance for us to just agree and see what benefits everyone and to ____ contention. That's much easier said than done.

Louise -? How will you publish the decision?

Heidi – We will do it in a public meeting.

(?) man Prior to the decision being made, right?

Mark – Exactly. It's 8:34 pm. I'm going to drive to Idaho Falls in a minute, so I appreciate if we can wrap this up. If you have more comments please email them. This discussion doesn't end today. Alright, I'll take that as a close of the comment period.....

(Overtalking)

Heidi – Can we move on to the well points of diversion.

Mark – Yeah, if people are going to leave, then go ahead and leave. We will pause for a minute.

2:04:33

2:04:33

This is the second part of the meeting where they are going to discuss No. 11, Well points of diversion.

Mark - ...well, even within the State, what's priority....? Right?

Heidi - Okay.

Mark - And so, to the point, nothing is operational over there, right?

Heidi - Right, well according to Mike, it's not. I heard Johnson's is stuck, Rollins Ranch, one and three.

Mike Johansen - One and two.

Heidi - One and two.

Johnson - They haven't been given certificates of occupancy, the pump house is built, but they are still waiting on a transformer.

Mark - So take a minute to figure out what is going on with that well, and not do it off speculation. We can submit this next week if we want, or we can submit it in a month, and it will make that?

Heidi - I guess part of my question is the distribution system, you are thinking if we eventually go municipal, then we lose that because otherwise we don't. We don't own the well, we don't own the tank, we wouldn't have any standing in that anyway, because it is not ours.

Mark -? We are all in a municipal, we will all become one company.

Heidi - So that's what your thoughts are in the future, because right now we don't have anything like that.

Mark - It's a valid question. I guess I wasn't thinking municipality at all at this discussion. I was thinking of the current state we have, multiple water companies popping up. So if we want to be able to provide services in that area effectively, we want to make sure we have sources of water in that area.

Heidi - But how would we provide water in that area with a company through someone else's water company.

(Overtalking)

Mark - There will have to be some type of agreement between us and them.

Heidi - So, again, I'm going to....

Mike - Unless there is going to be negotiation resolved.

Heidi - That's why I'm going again. That's kind of where I'm going to again, so what's the reasoning to leave it there. We've already done agreements with them, they don't follow them, I've already showed you that. We're not going to sue them, we don't.

Mark - Which agreements are they not following?

Heidi - Like I showed you, the settlement agreement, they sold their water from the middle tank, they sold that storage, even though in the agreement it said they couldn't. That was one of them.

Mark - And why wasn't that acted upon?

Heidi - By who?

Mark - This board, or whoever was.

Heidi - It was in 2016, because they probably didn't know about it Mark.

Mark - I think that's the issue. It was lack of knowledge on this company's part, they didn't take the initiative to.

Heidi - Right Mark, but that's the thing, it's that same group of people that are continuing to deal with us, and our Board is very, I was going to say fluid, but it just is, right? The next person, I gave you how many agreements that you needed to read, just so you can ? comment right now.

Mark - If I can work on this, and this is what I do for a living, is that we create a system that doesn't allow anything to slip.

2:07:31

Heidi – How do you do that?

Mark - We create a property management system. A lot of municipalities use them.

Heidi – Who runs it?

Mark – This municipality or this water board.

Heidi – Like who? The next Board, what, the Manager?

Mark – Yeah, everyone, we have an employee that would use it. We would havewould be able to run it... It would get used, and it would be up to this board to ensure that it was being used, but they are not involved in the nitty gritty, punching in data.

Heidi – Mark, I sat on a board last year, all's that happened we had one board meeting, maybe two, and we sat here. The President said what he wanted done, we all agreed to it and we moved on. Nobody asked questions, they asked for agreements and stuff, they didn't get them, and it just moved on to the next month, and the next month, and the next month! So, I'm sorry that I'm a little leary.

Mark – Okay, no, no, I appreciate that you have a history with it, but I still think there's a way to make agreements to make it work. I'm sure you see this as me being naïve.

Earlene – How much time would you need to come up with, with something like that, to offer to the board, with what it is you're saying?

Mark – With Lee's?

Mike Johansen – With a tracking system, he's talking about, the property management system?

Mark – Oh yeah, you're going to take a while. You are going to take a year or two to get that thing going.

Heidi – Is reading through something unrecognizable..... maybe the Zoom,...within good faith means nothing. Does this have anything to do with why they would not tell you what the water usage was this year for the secondary water. Is the agreement in writing? And what are the consequences if they do not follow the agreement? I don't know why they won't tell us how much water they used, I don't know.

Sean Dorius – Because they are using more than they are supposed to.

Heidi – Okay.

Sean – That's obvious.

Mark – It should be reported to the State.

Heidi – Not the secondary water, as far as I know, because there was an agreement, they actually don't have a right to the water, so they were just pumping it out so it's not going to be recorded??

Mark – Some of this happened? To the State of how much water was used.

Heidi – From the secondary, from the reservoir?

Mark – If it's a water right and it was used, it needs to be recorded in the State's, but we can get with the State on that.....

Heidi – That's really interesting, I never saw that. Nobody has ever said anything that the State has contracted.....

Mark – It could be something request.

Mike Johansen – It wouldn't be under the Division of Water Rights, I think it is the Division of Natural Resources that watches it.

Heidi – I still think you have a positive outlook, which is ironic, because they really pushed, that if Durst buys it, then they are going to go corrupt on them, which I'm really sad for. That mentality was not healthy.

Sean: That's the education they've been given.

2:10:28

Heidi – Mark, I really want to pull these again because of the history here. Sean and I drove by, there is a water tank at the hydrant, that is our water right now, though they say they are not using it, I don't know who is going to pay for it, I don't know if we've read the meter or anything.

Mike Johansen – They have a hydrant meter agreement with us, and they are paying for it.

Heidi – Mike I asked you that last month and you said no.

Sean – Yeah, that's right.

Earlene – Can we have a copy of it?

Mike – A hydrant meter agreement?

Earlene - Yeah.

Heidi – With them, and have they been paying on it?

Mike – I haven't billed, but they have a meter, they are using the meter so we've got an agreement with them.

Heidi – Again, when did you sign that agreement? Because I asked about it last month.

Mike – I don't recall you asking me about it last month.

Earlene – Okay, but when was it signed?

Mike – I don't know.

Earlene – Well, we can get a copy of it.

Heidi – Email us, that's great. That's good to know. Because that has been a concern.

Mark – I guess where I stand now is that I still don't feel comfortable in getting.....

Heidi – I can tell. So we're still supposed to meet next Tuesday?

Mark – Yeah. If you guys want to make a decision, that's fine.

Sean – It's good that we just talked about it.

Heidi – I do like what you did present, so I do appreciate your information. So we will get Mark on the road. Is there anything else that anybody.

Earlene: I do have a question for Mike again, I'm sorry. Have you had anybody apply for the position?

Mike: Just one and he made a comment tonight, it was Matt Bingham, and it sounds like we have an interest from Blair Fredrickson.

Earlene: That's been my biggest gripe here, for lack of better words, that all these people are just so furious at us for what we are trying to do here, but not one of them, except for one, have they ever run for this office, have they ever wanted to be a part of this Board. The only time they come to the meeting is when they, you know.

Sean – They want to tell us what we are doing wrong.

Earlene – But I just wondered how many.

Mike – I was planning on sending out an email to the District 4 people....Friday, reminding them of the deadline that is at 11:59 pm Sunday.

Earlene – Okay, because that was one thing I was curious about.

Mark – Let's re-talk with Lee's. The other company isn't established with them....They haven't finished their conversation with the Division of Drinking Water. They have incorporated as a company, right, but they do not have their permit yet through the Division of Drinking Water. It's not too late for us to loop Lee's into this and get all the things we need to.....?

Heidi – Alright, so, are you going to inform them, that that's all we need from them?

Mark – Yes. Let me talk with Lee's before we make a decision.

Heidi - Okay, I like that idea. Thanks, Mark.

2:13:13

Mark – So honestly, I don't think the other company, Mtn. Green Culinary, I don't think they necessarily want to be in existence. I think they see it as a way for them to try and be able to get that tank paid for, they are just trying to get money in the door..... That's not me talking..... so I think they are using that as a tool to try and get Lee's and aspects going part of that plat, going so they can get...

Heidi – So then what are they going to do? We have never said we don't want Lee's. We've just had so many other things here. Whenever we have a meeting it turns into a MGSWC board meeting. As you know that was the priority here, even when we try to explain the benefits, it was not even considered what benefits are for CWMWC. I do not know. I do not know. So if you ponder that, how we can express that, that is the importance here

Mark – So can you give me contacts for who to talk to at Lee's Market?

Mike – Yes

Heidi – Anybody want to move to adjourn?

Earlene - I will.

Heidi – First I guess we'll make a motion that we need to add those other agenda items to next month's.

Earlene – Okay. I thought we would do that.

Mark – I apologize that I pushed that onto everyone.

Sean – No, that's great.

Heidi – I appreciate that Mark.

Sean – It wouldn't be fair to not give them the attention they needed.

Heidi – Second?

Mark – I second it.

Heidi – All in favor.

All: Aye.

Heidi – Mark, drive safe.

Mike – Thanks for joining us online people.